Table	1.	Fractional	atomic	coordinates	and	equivalent
		isotropic di.	splacem	ent paramet	ers (A	Å <sup>2</sup> )

#### $U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U^{ij} a^i a^j \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$

	x	У	z	$U_{eq}$
01	0.45345 (11)	0.34734 (11)	0.46771 (4)	0.0295 (2)
03	0.71802 (11)	0.37425 (12)	0.45992 (4)	0.0360 (3)
N13	0.58101 (13)	0.42137 (13)	0.44737 (5)	0.0259 (3)
02	0.57188 (12)	0.54138 (11)	0.41409 (4)	0.0318 (3)
N5	0.23471 (14)	0.58389 (13)	0.39398 (5)	0.0241 (3)
N4	-0.03208 (14)	0.55287 (14)	0.39738 (5)	0.0272 (3)
N6	0.11014 (17)	0.38727 (15)	0.45794 (5)	0.0318 (3)
C14	0.10383 (16)	0.50091 (16)	0.41909 (6)	0.0248 (3)
C13	0.18101 (16)	0.69372 (15)	0.35482 (6)	0.0240(3)
C8	0.01059 (17)	0.67478 (16)	0.35729 (6)	0.0263 (3)
C10	0.0014 (2)	0.88218 (19)	0.28813 (7)	0.0374 (4)
C12	0.26427 (18)	0.80605 (17)	0.31859 (6)	0.0294 (3)
C9	-0.08244 (19)	0.76859 (18)	0.32407 (6)	0.0327 (4)
C11	0.1712 (2)	0.90002 (18)	0.28540(7)	0.0362 (4)
06	-0.06934 (11)	0.15155 (11)	0.53324 (4)	0.0305 (3)
04	0.18970 (12)	0.12266 (12)	0.54138 (5)	0.0363 (3)
05	0.01333 (12)	-0.04191 (11)	0.58753 (4)	0.0320 (3)
N14	0.04474 (13)	0.07695 (13)	0.55388 (5)	0.0252 (3)
N2	-0.59968 (14)	-0.05858 (14)	0.60191 (5)	0.0267 (3)
N1	-0.33583 (15)	-0.08941 (13)	0.60816 (5)	0.0252 (3)
N3	-0.41634 (17)	0.10871 (15)	0.54349 (5)	0.0325 (3)
C1	-0.41704 (17)	-0.20021 (16)	0.64635 (6)	0.0252 (3)
C7	-0.44900 (16)	-0.00627 (15)	0.58188 (6)	0.0246(3)
C2	-0.35952 (19)	-0.31213 (17)	0.68367 (6)	0.0306 (3)
C6	-0.58499 (17)	-0.18177 (16)	0.64188 (6)	0.0259 (3)
C5	-0.70145 (19)	-0.27753 (18)	0.67314 (6)	0.0321 (3)
C3	-0.4761 (2)	-0.40767 (19)	0.71519 (7)	0.0354 (4)
C4	-0.6438 (2)	-0.39182 (19)	0.70992 (7)	0.0364 (4)
08	0.64601 (12)	0.04581 (10)	0.03338 (4)	0.0291 (2)
07	0.45100 (12)	-0.06507 (11)	0.08886 (4)	0.0313 (2)
09	0.61867 (13)	-0.21529 (11)	0.04423 (4)	0.0344 (3)
N15	0.57109 (14)	-0.07823 (13)	0.05551 (5)	0.0245 (3)
N7	0.40688 (13)	0.26941 (14)	0.10796 (5)	0.0237 (3)
N8	0.44777 (14)	0.53218 (14)	0.10331 (5)	0.0258 (3)
C21	0.49525 (16)	0.39521 (15)	0.08222 (6)	0.0243 (3)
N9	0.60952 (15)	0.38405 (17)	0.04314 (5)	0.0311 (3)
C20	0.32215 (17)	0.49617 (16)	0.14336 (6)	0.0261 (3)
C16	0.17815 (17)	0.25133 (19)	0.18216 (6)	0.0300 (3)
C15	0.29662 (16)	0.32755 (15)	0.14620 (6)	0.0238 (3)
C19	0.22998 (19)	0.59233 (19)	0.17586 (6)	0.0329 (4)
C17	0.08478 (19)	0.3477 (2)	0.21454 (7)	0.0365 (4)
C18	0.1105 (2)	0.5153 (2)	0.21165 (7)	0.0385 (4)
012	0.84627 (12)	-0.43551 (11)	-0.03187 (4)	0.0296 (2)
011	0.87556 (12)	-0.69045 (11)	-0.04162 (4)	0.0342 (3)
010	1.04316 (12)	-0.51490 (11)	-0.08668(4)	0.0308 (2)
NIU	1.04/60 (14)	0.09131 (14)	-0.10002 (5)	0.0254 (3)
NH	1.08/26 (13)	-0.16853 (14)	-0.10569 (5)	0.0232 (3)
NIO	0.92259 (14)	-0.546/1(13)	-0.05341 (5)	0.0243 (3)
C38	0.88922 (13)	-0.09343(17)	-0.03927 (3)	0.0291 (3)
C20	1.00137 (10)	-0.038/3(13)	-0.0/909 (6)	0.0228 (3)
C22 C26	1.10804(10)	0.07937 (10)	-0.14123(6)	0.0253 (3)
C20	1.30431(1/)	-0.140/0 (18)	-0.183/9 (0)	0.0293 (3)
C27	1.19323 (10)	-0.06033 (10)	-0.14334 (0)	0.0230 (3)
C24	1.23/99(19)	0.19391 (18)	-0.1/44/(0)	0.0320(3)
C25	1.3706 (2)	-0.0240(2)	-0.21231(7) -0.21716(7)	0.0300 (4)
-25	1.37270(17)	0.0240(2)	0.21/10(7)	0.0347(4)

## Table 2. Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °)

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D—H	H···A	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D = \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
N1—H01···O5	0.88(2)	1.99 (2)	2.871 (2)	176 (2)
N2—H02···O4 <sup>i</sup>	0.91 (2)	1.94(2)	2.778 (2)	154 (2)
N3—H03A···O6	0.95 (2)	1.92 (2)	2.842 (2)	162 (2)
N3—H03B···O1 <sup>i</sup>	0.88(2)	2.17(2)	2.915(2)	142 (1)
N4—H04···O3 <sup>i</sup>	0.84(2)	2.02 (2)	2.800(2)	153 (2)
N5—H05···O2	0.90(2)	1.97 (2)	2.870(2)	178 (2)
N6—H06A···O1	0.93 (2)	1.96(2)	2.859 (2)	160 (2)
N6—H06 <i>B</i> ···O6	0.85(2)	2.17(2)	2.902(2)	144 (2)
N7-H07···O7	0.90(2)	1.97 (2)	2.874 (2)	176 (2)
N8H08 · · ·O9 <sup>ii</sup>	0.91 (2)	1.89(2)	2.757 (2)	159 (2)
N9—H09A···O8	0.92 (2)	1.97 (2)	2.848 (2)	160 (2)
N9—H09 <i>B</i> ····O12 <sup>ii</sup>	0.85(2)	2.16(2)	2.899 (2)	146 (2)

© 1999 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

N10—H010· · · O11 <sup>ii</sup>	0.91 (2)	1.87 (2)	2.754 (2)	162 (2)		
N11—H011···O10	0.93 (2)	1.95 (2)	2.879(2)	177 (2)		
N12H12A····O8	0.86(2)	2.16 (2)	2.904 (2)	144 (2)		
N12—H12B· · · O12	0.91 (2)	1.96 (2)	2.839 (2)	164 (2)		
Symmetry codes: (i) $x - 1$ , y, z; (ii) x, $1 + y$ , z.						

Data collection: SMART (Siemens, 1995). Cell refinement: SMART. Data reduction: SAINT (Siemens, 1995). Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS in SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 1996). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997). Molecular graphics: XP in SHELXTL. Software used to prepare material for publication: CIF in SHELXTL.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: KA1323). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

#### References

- Bats, J. W., Grundl, M. A. & Hashmi, A. S. K. (1999). Acta Cryst. C55, 689-691.
- Bats, J. W., Öhlinger, S. H. & Mulzer, J. (1999). Acta Cryst. C55, 1158-1160.
- Kálmán, A. & Argay, G. (1998). Acta Cryst. B54, 877-888.
- Koch, U. & Egert, E. (1995). J. Comput. Chem. 16, 937-944.
- Schellhaas, K., Schmalz, H.-G. & Bats, J. W. (1998). Chem. Eur. J. 4, 57–66.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SHELXTL. Structure Determination Software Programs. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1995). SMART and SAINT. Area-Detector Control and Integration Software. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Zorky, P. M. (1996). J. Mol. Struct. 374, 9-28

Acta Cryst. (1999). C55, 1328-1330

## *N*-(2-Pyridinium)urea nitrate<sup>†</sup>

VELICHKA VELIKOVA, SNEJANA BAKARDJEVA AND OLYANA ANGELOVA

Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Central Laboratory of Mineralogy and Crystallography, Rakovski Str. 92, 1000 Sofia, Bulgaria. E-mail: srcic@bgcict.acad.bg

(Received 24 June 1998; accepted 18 January 1999)

#### Abstract

The N-(2-pyridinium)urea cation of the title compound,  $C_6H_8N_3O^+ \cdot NO_3^-$ , is planar within 0.044 (2) Å and adopts an *s-cis-s-cis* conformation with respect to the imino C—N bonds. The hydrogen-bonding network results in layers parallel to the (203) plane.

<sup>†</sup> Alternative name: 2-ureidopyridinium nitrate.

## Comment

This article is part of a project investigating adducts of unsymmetrically substituted (by  $\pi$ -conjugated substituents) urea derivatives and inorganic salts with potential as non-linear materials. The adducts of N-(2pyridyl)urea (2pU) with HReO4 and HClO4 were found to crystallize in the centrosymmetric space groups  $P\bar{1}$ and  $P2_1/n$ , respectively (Velikova, Petrova & Angelova, 1997), and contain cationic hydrogen-bonded dimers. In these structures, three O atoms from the tetrahedron are involved in hydrogen bonds. Here, in the title structure, (I), we exchanged the counter-ion with a planar  $NO_3^$ anion in order to investigate its effect on the cationic arrangement.



Bond lengths and angles in N-(2-pyridinium)urea nitrate are similar to those found for N-(2-pyridyl)urea (2pU) (Velikova, Angelova & Kossev, 1997) and derivatives (Le Magueres et al., 1994; Velikova, Petrova & Angelova, 1997; Velikova, Angelova, Petrova & Kossev, 1997). The cation is planar, with the largest deviation of 0.044 (2) Å at the O1 atom. The overall conformation of the molecule can be described as s-cis-s-cis with respect to the imino C1-N2-C2 bonds due to protonation of the endo-N atom and formation of an intramolecular hydrogen bond. The same conformation is found in the structures of N-(2pyridinium)urea perrhenate and perchlorate.

The ions are organized in layers parallel to (203) by an extensive hydrogen-bonding network (Table 2). The structural units are held together by two types of hydrogen bonds. The first is between the cisoid imino HN2 and amino HN31 atoms, and two O atoms from the anion, forming an eight-membered hydrogenbonded ring similar to those found in the structures of 2pUH+ ClO<sub>4</sub> and thiourea inclusion compounds (Li & Mak, 1996). The second type of hydrogen bond is maintained by a symmetrically bifurcated HN32 with two nitrate O atoms, forming a four-membered hydrogen-bonded ring with an  $O2 \cdots HN32^{iii} \cdots O3$  angle of 52.7 (5)° [symmetry code: (iii)  $-\frac{1}{2} - x$ ,  $y - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - z$ ]. These hydrogen bonds form ribbons extended along the b axis. In addition,  $2pUH^+$  cations are linked to other 2pUH<sup>+</sup> cations from adjacent ribbons through a centrosymmetric four-membered hydrogen-bonded ring including H atoms of the protonated endo-N atoms. These rings are similar to those found in the structure of 3-aminopyrazine-2-carboxylic acid (Dobson & Gerkin, 1996). Such an interaction between the cations is quite different from those in the structures of other salts of 2-pyridylurea where the cations are held together by a centrosymmetric eight-membered cycle including amino N atoms. The cohesion of the layers is realized by stacking of the carbonyl groups which are separated by 3.10(2) Å.



Fig. 1. View of the title structure and the atomic numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

#### Experimental

An equimolar mixture of N-(2-pyridyl)urea (1 g) and HNO<sub>3</sub> (0.51 ml) was stirred at 303 K for 30 min. A single crystal was grown by slow evaporation at room temperature.

#### Crystal data

$C_6H_8N_3O^+ \cdot NO_3^-$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 200.16$	$\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$
Monoclinic	Cell parameters from 22
$P2_1/n$	reflections
a = 5.0861 (10)  Å	$\theta = 20.55 - 21.45^{\circ}$
b = 12.198(2)Å	$\mu = 0.133 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 13.760(2) Å	T = 293 (2)  K
$\beta = 96.070  (15)^{\circ}$	Prismatic
$V = 848.8(3) \text{ Å}^3$	$0.45 \times 0.40 \times 0.27$ mm
Z = 4	Colourless
$D_x = 1.566 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	
$D_m$ not measured	

## Data collection

Enraf–Nonius CAD-4	$R_{\rm int} = 0.046$
diffractometer	$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.95^{\circ}$
$\omega/2\theta$ scans	$h = 0 \rightarrow 6$
Absorption correction: none	$k = -16 \rightarrow 16$
3950 measured reflections	$l = -11 \rightarrow 18$
1862 independent reflections	3 standard reflections
1348 reflections with	frequency: 120 min
$I > 2\sigma(I)$	intensity decay: 3%

#### Refinement

1.0,110.110.11	
Refinement on $F^2$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0690P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.048$	+ 0.0869 <i>P</i> ]
$wR(F^2) = 0.134$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.068	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.015$
1862 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.230 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm A}_{-3}^{-3}$
151 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.251 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm A}^{-3}$
Only coordinates of H atoms	Extinction correction: none
refined	Scattering factors from
	International Tables for
	Crystallography (Vol. C)

# Table 1. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

1.2300 (19)	N4 O3	
	194-03	1.2265 (19)
1.359 (2)	N404	1.237 (2)
1.394 (2)	N4—O2	1.2400 (19)
1.324 (2)		
125.93 (14)	N1-C2-N2	120.39 (14)
124.50 (16)	N1-C2-C3	118.35 (15)
121.18 (15)	N2-C2-C3	121.26 (15)
114.32 (15)		
	1.2500 (19) 1.359 (2) 1.394 (2) 1.324 (2) 125.93 (14) 124.50 (16) 121.18 (15)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

# Table 2. Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °)

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D—H	<b>H</b> ···A	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D = \mathbf{H} \cdots \mathbf{A}$	
NI-HN1···O1	0.85 (2)	1.95 (2)	2.6221 (19)	135.1 (18)	
N1—HN1···O1 <sup>i</sup>	0.85 (2)	2.34 (2)	2.9417 (18)	127.9 (17)	
N2HN2· · · O2	0.86 (2)	1.97 (2)	2.820 (2)	168 (2)	
N3HN3104	0.88 (2)	2.10 (2)	2.971 (2)	168.0 (17)	
N3—HN32···O3 <sup>ii</sup>	0.89 (2)	2.33 (2)	3.210 (2)	171.7 (17)	
N3—HN32···O2 <sup>ii</sup>	0.89 (2)	2.47 (2)	3.155 (2)	134.8 (16)	
Symmetry codes: (i) $1 - x, -y, -z$ ; (ii) $-\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z$ .					

H atoms were localized from difference Fourier maps and refined with fixed  $U_{iso} = 0.0506 \text{ Å}^2$ .

Data collection: CAD-4 Manual (Enraf-Nonius, 1988). Cell refinement: CAD-4 Manual. Data reduction: DATARED (Vassilev, unpublished). Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1990). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997). Molecular graphics: OR-TEPII (Johnson, 1976). Software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.

This work has been supported by the Bulgarian National Science Foundation (project Ch-588). We thank Mrs Rosica Petrova for collecting the diffraction data.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: KA1296). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

## References

- Dobson, A. J. & Gerkin, R. E. (1996). Acta Cryst. C52, 1512-1514.
- Enraf-Nonius (1988). CAD-4 Manual. Version 5.0. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Le Magueres, P., Ouahab, L., Hocquet, A. & Fournier, J. (1994). Acta Cryst. C50, 1507–1511.
- Li, Q. & Mak, T. C. W. (1996). Acta Cryst. B52, 989-998.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Velikova, V., Angelova, O. & Kossev, K. (1997). Acta Cryst. C53, 1273-1275.

© 1999 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Velikova, V., Angelova, O., Petrova, R. & Kossev, K. (1997). Acta Cryst. C53, 971–973.

Velikova, V., Petrova, R. & Angelova, O. (1997). Acta Cryst. C53, 1230-1232.

Acta Cryst. (1999). C55, 1330-1332

# A dithiadiazolylium chloride salt from the reaction of 4-phenyl-1,2,3,5-dithiadiazolyl with gold(I) chloride

J. NICHOLAS B. SMITH, JEREMY M. RAWSON AND JOHN E. DAVIES

Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Lensfield Road, Cambridge CB2 1EW, England. E-mail: jmr31@cus. cam.ac.uk

(Received 11 May 1998; accepted 31 March 1999)

## Abstract

4-Phenyl-1,2,3,5-dithiadiazolyl reacts with AuCl·THT (THT is tetrahydrothiophene) to produce 4-phenyl-1,2,3,5-dithiadiazolylium chloride and elemental gold. The structure of the dichloromethane hemisolvate,  $C_7H_5N_2S_2^{\pm}\cdot Cl^{-}\cdot 0.5CH_2Cl_2$  or PhCNSSN<sup>+</sup>·Cl<sup>-</sup>·0.5CH<sub>2</sub>-Cl<sub>2</sub>, contains one Cl<sup>-</sup> anion per dithiadiazolylium cation. The Cl<sup>-</sup> anion sits approximately equidistant from each of the S atoms of the CN<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub> fragment, and lies almost directly in the heterocyclic ring plane. The solvent molecule is well ordered, forming weak interactions with the dithiadiazolium and Cl<sup>-</sup> ions.

## Comment

Recently, we have been investigating the oxidative addition reactions of 4-phenyl-1,2,3,5-dithiadiazolyl radicals to late transition metal elements (Banister *et al.*, 1998), in which the dithiadiazolyl is bound directly to the metal following sulfur-sulfur bond cleavage of the ligand. The reaction of 4-phenyl-1,2,3,5-dithiadiazolyl with AuCl·THT (THT is tetrahydrothiophene) in dichloromethane produced a yellow crystalline solid, which was identified by a single-crystal X-ray diffraction study as PhCNSSN<sup>+</sup>·Cl<sup>-</sup>·0.5CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, (1).



The average S—S, S—N and C—N distances [2.0115 (13), 1.593 (3) and 1.345 (4) Å, respectively] are